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ABSTRACT

Innovative behavior is becoming increasingly important, especially when the world has witnessed the rapid growth of start-up companies. The purpose of this research is to measure the impact of 10 kinds of personal values on innovative behavior of employees, based on Schwart’s theory of basic values to build the research framework. A total of 455 Vietnamese respondents from different companies participated in this study. The type of this research is a quantitative research and we used SPSS 22.0 to analyze the data used in this study. The result showed that universalism and benevolence positively affected employee’s innovative behavior, whereas the other values were found to have no significance of innovative behavior in our research.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, Vietnam has been one of the countries which have strongly considered start-up businesses. With the Start-up Support Scheme in the period 2019-2025, Vietnam’s government enacted policies that support and motivate entrepreneurship by Vietnamese residents, especially the young generation. In this case, innovation has played an essential role in developing business, adapting to the international market, and being competitive with competitors. Besides, the economy of Vietnam has suffered slightly from the effects of Covid-19 pandemic. Many companies have dissolved because of being unable to achieve sustainable growth. In addition, enterprises must have more and more innovative initiatives to adapt to the business environment in the digital transformation era.

Regarding the context of Vietnam, there are a few researches that study the innovative behavior of employees. Those researches are still limited in sample size, and most of them are conducted in a few enterprises, so they have not covered the factors that influence employees’ innovative behavior. Our research aims to help the enterprises understand innovative behavior and the effect of personal values on it; thus, they may have effective solutions to develop their employees. Moreover, this study will raise people’s awareness of the importance of innovative behavior in organizations and how they can be more innovative in their work. Our research is based on Schwart’s theory of basic human values [1] and extends to the role of personal values on innovative behavior of employees.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW AND HYPOTHESIS DEVELOPMENT

A. Innovative Behavior

In [2], innovative behavior is defined as an employee’s intentional introduction or application of new ideas, products, processes, and procedures to their work role, work unit, or organization.

The authors [2] conceptualize innovative behavior as complex behavior consisting of activities about both the generation/introduction of new ideas (either by oneself or adopted from others) and the realization or implementation of new ideas.

B. Personal Values

Values may determine one’s attitudes toward specific objects or situations, subsequently guiding the individuals’ behavior and motivating their actions and attitudes [3].

1) Self-Direction

This value type is defined with the goal of independence in deciding action and thought, exploration, and creation. The requirements of independence and autonomy about interaction and controlling resulted in self-direction [1]. Self-direction stems from the need for independence, autonomy, and control [4] To creativity, self-direction is assumed to be the most relevant value [5]. The reason is that creativity is a part of self-direction, its motivational goals would seem to be
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primary values for the ones with creativity as independent 
action and thought, freedom in pursuing the interests and 
exploring [5].

2) Stimulation

The values relating to stimulation are supposed to stem 
from the organismic needs for stimulation and diversity to 
keep the activation at the highest level [1]. According to [6], 
it seems that innovative behavior is promoted by the 
stimulation values as an approach to gaining goals.

3) Achievement

Achievement motivation is the need for excellence and 
significant accomplishment, despite what rewards may be 
offered after the achievement has been met [7]. This value 
type’s defining goal is personal success by demonstrating 
competence according to social standards [1]. Achievement 
values emphasize demonstrating competence in prevailing 
cultural standards, thereby obtaining social approval [1]. On 
the other hand, this valued goal can be boosted by innovative 
activities. The reason is that the employees with innovative 
behavior may differentiate themselves from other co-workers 
by their notable position, which is recognized as their success 
[6].

4) Power

Power values may also transform the individual needs for 
domiance and control identified by analysts of social 
motives [1]. Power reflects the goals of prestige, social status 
attainment, and control or dominance over people and 
resources [6]. Employees can also be aware of innovative 
behaviour to attain high social status and reach the important 
position of leader in comparison with others [6].

5) Security

The motivational goal of security values includes harmony, 
safety, and stability of relationships, of self, and of society. 
Individual and group requirements are presumed to be its 
foundation [1]. The priority of employees with the high level 
of security values is structure and certainty. Besides, they are 
very likely to clarify uncertainty as threatening [8]. On the 
contrary, employees with the low level of security values are 
more prone to hold the change [8]. This value type seems to 
decrease innovative behavior due to that they concentrate on 
harmony, safety, and stability, which prevent them from 
inovation implementation. Particularly, executing 
innovations in firms often demands disrupting formed 
standards, process, conventions [6].

6) Conformity

Restraint of actions, inclinations, and impulses likely to 
bother or harm others and violate social expectations or 
norms is the defining goal of this value type [1]. As being 
given define, conformity values stress self-restraint in 
everyday interaction, usually with close ones (obedient, 
honoring parents and elders, politeness, self-discipline) [1]. 
According to [6], employees have the intention to avoid 
performing innovative initiatives when they attach high 
significance to this value because it may result in changes that 
others do not easily accept or welcome in their organizations.

7) Tradition

This value refers to honoring and preserving cultural 
traditions and customs [9]. This domain may also be 
grounded in the universal survival needs of groups [9]. The 
motivational goal of tradition values is showing commitment, 
acceptance and respect to the customs as well as ideas of other 
culture or religion that impose on the individual [1]. Innovative 
behaviors are not fit in that approach and are not 
supposed to be motivated in such an environment. Employees 
who want to perform their innovative ideas in organizations 
should take the initiative and attempt to realize ideas, which 
sometimes requires substantial efforts [6]

8) Hedonism

Hedonism shares elements of both self-enhancement and openness to change [9]. Scholars from many disciplines 
referred to this value type which descends from organismic needs and the pleasure related to satisfying them [1]. In order 
to justify including two values from the Rokeach list, happiness and cheerful, it used to be called enjoyment [1]. Hedonism is a human value which derived from the motivational goal of pleasure or sensuous gratification for oneself [10]. Hedonic values involved with the needs of seeking pleasure, which is even reflected in more intense 
shopping activities [10].

9) Benevolence

Benevolence values descend from the organismic need for 
affiliation and the essential requirement for smooth group 
functioning [9]. Benevolence involves concern that is shown in everyday interactions for relatively close people [6]. Benevolence values derived from the motivational goal of 
boasting and preservation of the welfare of people with 
whom one is in frequent personal contact (helpful, loyal, 
forgiving, honest, responsible, true friendship, mature love) [1]. Behaviors such as helping others, donating money, 
voicing constructive suggestions, and volunteering, with 
demonstrating social sensitivity, awareness concerning moral, and showing readiness for social contact with out-
group members are related positively to Benevolence values [11].

10) Universalism

Universalism derived from the motivational goal of 
tolerance, appreciation, understanding, and protection for the 
wellfare of all people and for nature [1]. The motivational goal of universalism values can be descended from those 
collective survival needs and individuals that become 
apparent when people interact with those outside the 
extended primary group and increase awareness of the 
scarcity of natural resources [1]. Universalism values show 
concern and tolerance for all others (e.g., social justice, 
equality, broad-mindedness) [12]. Together with 
benevolence, the values express the individuals’ basic need 
to build social relations with other people and are consistently 
ranked as the most important values for most people across 
cultures [12].

C. Previous Research

Based on the previous research’s result, hedonism, 
stimulation and self-direction were found to have a positive 
effect on individual innovative behaviors [6], [13]. Another 
found that both power and achievement values are positively 
related to innovation in organizations among employees in 
managerial positions [3].
However, some studies found that security, conformity, tradition and universalism, benevolence negatively related to innovative behavior [5], [6].

D. Conceptual Framework

From previous researches stated above, the authors propose the theoretical framework below:

![Fig. 1. Conceptual Framework.](image)

The hypotheses in this study can be described as below based on the shown conceptual framework:

Hypothesis 1 (H1): Self-Direction has a positive effect on innovative behavior.

Hypothesis 2 (H2): Stimulation has a positive effect on innovative behavior.

Hypothesis 3 (H3): Hedonism has a positive effect on innovative behavior.

Hypothesis 4 (H4): Power has a positive effect on innovative behavior.

Hypothesis 5 (H5): Achievement has a positive effect on innovative behavior.

Hypothesis 6 (H6): Tradition has a negative effect on innovative behavior.

Hypothesis 7 (H7): Conformity has a negative effect on innovative behavior.

Hypothesis 8 (H8): Security has a negative effect on innovative behavior.

Hypothesis 9 (H9): Universalism has a negative effect on innovative behavior.

Hypothesis 10 (H10): Benevolence has a negative effect on innovative behavior.

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

A. Source of Data

Primary and secondary methods are used for collecting data. The authors used an online survey for collecting primary data by a structured questionnaire. Tools such as Google Scholar, ScienceDirect, Emerald Insight, and Proquest were used for collecting secondary data.

B. Questionnaire

In this study, the authors referenced Portrait Values Questionnaire with 21 items (PVQ-21) to calculate employees’ personal values. According to [15], [16], the measure is uncomplicated and even applicable to the employees who do not go to school or have little academic knowledge. The statements in this measure help to describe various people’s verbal portrait to show the influence of each type of value [14]. Variables were measured on a Likert scale from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree).

C. Methods of Data Analysis

From the valid questionnaires collected, the authors turned to Excel and coded each part of the survey questionnaire. Next, all data were processed through the SPSS 22.0 software. The authors conducted three steps of analyses and testing: firstly, Cronbach’s alpha analysis and explorative factor analysis (EFA) are implemented to assess variables’ reliability. Secondly, the authors use linear regression analysis for estimating the relationship among variables.

IV. RESULTS

A. Respondent Characteristics

As the data of 455 respondents that the authors collected, it shows that most employees are women (55.6%), age 20-30 years (74.9%), 6-10 years of working experience (42.6%), below 5 million VND of income (27.7%) and with firm size of 50-100 people (32.1%). The fields of respondents are mainly relating to finance (18.7%), education (15.6%), and real estate (10.1%).

B. Reliability Analysis

In this study, we conducted to verify Cronbach’s Alpha reliability with each value to evaluate the scale’s internal consistency and delete the low-reliability items. For exploratory studies, values between 0.60 and 0.70 are considered acceptable [17], [18]. In Table I, we present the results of the reliability analysis of the indicators:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale Variance if Item Deleted</th>
<th>Corrected Item-Total Correlation</th>
<th>Cronbach’s Alpha if Item Deleted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PV_SD1</td>
<td>0.729</td>
<td>0.854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV_SD2</td>
<td>0.746</td>
<td>0.854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV_ST1</td>
<td>0.587</td>
<td>0.558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV_ST2</td>
<td>0.591</td>
<td>0.558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV_H1</td>
<td>0.719</td>
<td>0.679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV_H2</td>
<td>0.528</td>
<td>0.679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV_A1</td>
<td>0.557</td>
<td>0.691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV_A2</td>
<td>0.495</td>
<td>0.691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV_P1</td>
<td>1.009</td>
<td>0.626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV_P2</td>
<td>0.747</td>
<td>0.626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV_S1</td>
<td>0.588</td>
<td>0.845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV_S2</td>
<td>0.556</td>
<td>0.845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV_C1</td>
<td>0.578</td>
<td>0.649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV_C2</td>
<td>0.612</td>
<td>0.649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV_T1</td>
<td>0.943</td>
<td>0.796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV_T2</td>
<td>0.942</td>
<td>0.796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV_B1</td>
<td>0.763</td>
<td>0.732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV_B2</td>
<td>0.814</td>
<td>0.732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV_U1</td>
<td>1.693</td>
<td>0.720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV_U2</td>
<td>1.640</td>
<td>0.628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV_U3</td>
<td>1.651</td>
<td>0.603</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cronbach’s Alpha coefficients of personal value factors were all greater than 0.70, showing a reasonable scale. Based
on Table I, in addition to the factors of personal value of universalism (PV_U), conformity (PV_C), power (PV_P) and stimulation (PV_ST), the rest of the ten values had Cronbach’s Alpha coefficient greater than 0.80, which led to an excellent scale. Therefore, the authors concluded that the scale of personal values had good reliability.

C. Exploratory Factor Analysis

The authors consider 25 items to calculate innovative behavior and 10 aspects of personal values: self-direction and stimulation, hedonism, power, achievement, tradition, conformity, security, universalism, benevolence. Each aspect was estimated by two items, except for universalism and innovative behavior, with three and four items, respectively. In this study, the statistical criteria were satisfied.

Bartlett’s Test of Sphericity value \( \chi^2 = 4611.839; p < 0.001 \) was significant, rejecting the null hypothesis that the correlation matrix was an identity matrix. Besides, the KMO value was 0.612 > 0.50, which illustrated the availability of a proper number of items for each factor.

The next step was to identify eigenvalues, percentage of variances and factor loading. In the current study, 11 factors with eigenvalues over 1 emerged from the EFA, explaining 80.854% of the total variance.

The authors deployed the component matrix after varimax rotation to determine the items relating to each factor. On the other hand, all chosen 25 items with high loading factors ranging from 0.776 to 0.955 (>0.50) were entered in list. From EFA, the authors extracted 11 factors to continue the step of linear regression analysis.

D. Linear Regression Analysis

This section of the study presents the results and discussions of the regression output. To examine the impact of the relationship between personal values and employee’s innovative behavior, regression model was estimated. The regression analysis enables the researcher to empirically test the proposed hypothesis and to achieve the research objective.

From the findings, the adjusted \( R^2 \) was used to establish the predictive power of the study model; however, it was found to be 0.075, implying that changes in personal values explain 7.50% of the variations in employee’s innovative behavior. The probability value of 0.000\(^2\) indicates that the regression relationship was highly significant in predicting how personal values influenced innovative behavior.

The analysis results also showed that eight out of ten values have no significance to employee’s innovative behavior. They were stimulation, self-direction, hedonism, power, achievement, security, conformity, and tradition. Therefore, hypothesis H1-H8 were rejected or H9 and H10 were accepted.

Universalism \( B = 0.104, \ p = 0.024 \) and benevolence \( B = 0.233, \ p < 0.001 \) were the values positively relating to employees’ innovative behavior. Besides, benevolence values impacted more than universalism values.

### Table III: Coefficients of Linear Regression

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Beta</th>
<th>Sig</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PV_SD</td>
<td>-0.006</td>
<td>0.898</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV_ST</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV_H</td>
<td>-0.047</td>
<td>0.325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV_A</td>
<td>-0.019</td>
<td>0.685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV_P</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV_S</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV_C</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV_T</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV_B</td>
<td>0.233</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV_U</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. Conclusion and Recommendations

From the results, the conclusion can be drawn based on proving the hypotheses that were built in this study. This research showed that personal values have a positive impact on employee innovative behavior. This study includes 10 factors that belong to personal values, but just universalism and benevolence are the aspects that exert a positive and significant impact on innovative behavior. Thus, employers could have possible solutions to improve current company status and build strategies to promote innovative employee initiatives by encouraging these values inside employees. Furthermore, the senior manager should reasonably assign tasks, especially teamwork which stimulates employee’s benevolence. In this case, they will be willing to help their team to do tasks better and better. In addition, to promote innovative behavior, the employer should let the higher-experience employees support fresh ones. Moreover, the company might organize some volunteer activities and let their employees participate. Thus, the company not only improve their image but also show responsibilities to the social.
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